

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPĻICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,312	01/19/2001	Yoshihisa Yamada	1163-0318P 6764	
7590 12/09/2005			EXAMINER	
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP P.O. BOX 747			RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT	
F.O. BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	٠. ١,

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/764,312	YAMADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andy S. Rao	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	eptember 2005.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-4 and 9-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-8 and 16-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
<u> </u>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	• ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Page 2

1. As per the applicant's instructions filed on 9/15/05, claims 18-26 have been added.

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claims 1, 5-8, 16-23 on 9/15/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-8, and 16-17 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Suzuki"), as was set forth in the Office Action of 6/15/05.

After summarizing the instant invention (Amendment of 9/15/05: page 9, lines 8-17), the Applicant contends that the applied Suzuki reference fails to discloses an image input controller executing a predetermined processing to an input motion image signal for reducing the amount of coded data, whereby a coded method requires that a coded image signal has a constant frame rate upon decoding..." as recited in the independent claims (Amendment of 9/15/05: page 10, lines 1-10). The Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that the citation in question (Suzuki: column 16, lines 5-15) discloses that the FR signal is used as a multiplication factor for resolution conversion between the upper and lower picture layers. The resolution of these signals are dependent upon the spatial and temporal scalability which is what is modified during the conversion process (i.e. decoding). Temporal scalability is scalability along the time axis or the amount frame information along the time axis (i.e. frame rate), and thus Suzuki does disclose decoding according to a constant frame rate (Suzuki: column 13, lines 10-20). It is further noted that depending upon the temporal scalability required, certain predictive modes are preferred

Art Unit: 2613

over others (Suzuki: column 21, lines 25-67; column 22, lines 1-47). Accordingly, the Examiner maintains that the limitation is met.

A detailed rejection concerning the newly added claims 18-26 follows below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Suzuki").

Application/Control Number: 09/764,312

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 18, 21, and 24, Suzuki discloses wherein the input image controller executes the predetermined processing to the motion image signal when the frame rate of the motion image signal is greater than a predetermined frame rate (Suzuki: column 11, lines 45-60; column 13, lines 10-15), as in the claims...

Page 4

Regarding claims 19-20, 22-23, 25-26, Suzuki discloses wherein the predetermined processing that is executed by the input image controller includes excluding a field or frame of the motion image signal such that the frame rate of the motion image signal is reduced to a predetermined frame rate (Suzuki: column 33, lines 60-67; column 34, lines 20-67) as in the claims.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,312

Art Unit: 2613

3

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (571)-272-7337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571)-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

asr December 6, 2005 Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

> ANDY BAD NEW EXAMINER

> > T.V.

Page 5